AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT					of PAGES 1 7	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE DATE (M/D/Y)	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. 5. PROJECT NO. (). (If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY CODE	See Block 16C	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) CODE				
U.S. Department of Energy Office of River Protection P. O. Box 450, MS H6-60 Richland, WA 99352		. ADMINISTER		CODE		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, co	ounty, State and ZIP code)		9A. AMENDME	ENT OF SOLICITA	ATION NO.	
Bechtel National, Inc. 2435 Stevens Center Place Richland, WA 99354				ATION OF CONT	TRACT/ ORDER NO.	
			10B, DATED (C 27-01RV141 SEE ITEM 13)	130	
2007 20045	15220	20.60		ber 11, 2000		
CODE 396A5	FACILITY CODE 15339 TEM APPLIES TO AMEN		I L SOLICITATIONS			
The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returningcopic submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR T desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be prior to the opening hour and date specified.	n Item 14. The hour and date specification and date specified in the solicities of the amendment; (b) By acknown reference to the solicitation and ame O THE DATE AND HOUR SPECIFIE made by telegram or letter, provided	ied for receipt of Of ation or as amended redging receipt of the andment numbers. Fed MAY RESULT IN	fers is extended, by one of the following mains amendment on each copy AILURE OF YOUR ACKNO	y of the offer OWLEDGEMENT FER. If by virtue	TO BE RECEIVED AT THE of this amendment you	
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If requi	red) -					
	PPLIES ONLY TO MODIF S THE CONTRACT/ORDE					
CHECK ONE A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (A)	Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET	FORTH IN ITEM 14 A	RE MADE IN THE CONTRAC	CT ORDER NO. IN	ITEM 10A.	
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF FAR 4		STRATIVE CHANG	ES (such as changes in paying	g office, appropria	tion date, etc.) SET FORTH	
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERE Clause I.82, "FAR 52.243-2 Changes – Cost R Contract Clause I.66, "FAR 52.232-22 Limital D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)	teimbursement (AUG 1987) – A		1984)"			
	required to sign this documer					
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (C See following page(s)	Organized by UCF section headings,	, including solicitati	on/contract subject matter v	vhere feasible.)		
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.						
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)	ment referenced in Item 9A or 10A,	16A, NAME A	ed, remains unchanged and ND TITLE OF CONTRAC	TING OFFICER	(Type or print)	
Frank M. Russo Project Director		Cor	itracting Officer			
15B, CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	IGNED 16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 16C. DATE SIGNED				
ORIGINAL SIGNED BY	8/10/11	BY ORIC	SINAL SIGNE	ED BY	9.1.2011	
(Signature of person authorized to sign)	7100111	(Sig	nature of Contracting Offic	er)	<i>8</i>	

Purpose of Modification:

The purpose of this modification is to update Contract Section B, Supplies or Services and Prices/Costs, Section C, Statement of Work, and Contract Section J, List of Attachments. These contractual actions are performed under the authority of Contract Clause I.66, FAR 52.232-22 Limitation of Funds (Apr 1984), and Contract Clause I. 82, FAR 52.243-2 Changes – Cost Reimbursement (AUG 1987) – Alternate III (APR 1984), at no additional cost.

Description of Modification:

1. Under the authority of Contract Clause I.66, *FAR 52.232-22 Limitation of Funds (Apr 1984)*, the total amount of funds obligated to Inter-Entity Work Order (IEWO) No. MSRV00028 is increased by \$347,083.00, from \$886,201.00 to \$1,233,284.00. As a result of this contractual action, the total IEWO funds obligated is increased from \$75,087,518.82 to \$75,434,601.82 and the total contract value is increased from \$7,011,766,149.41 to \$7,012,113,232.41. The following table shows the increase in obligated funds by IEWO Amendment:

Amendment	Obligated Funding
No.	Amount
15	\$344,083.00
16	\$3,000.00
Total	\$347,083.00

2. The table in Section B, Supplies or Services and Prices/Costs, Contract Clause B.2, Obligation and Availability of Funds and Contract Value, paragraph (a) is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following table, which reflects the changes described herein.

BUDGETARY CONTROL POINTS FOR WTP PROJECT						
Description	Appropriation Symbol	B&R No. (Control Point)	Budget Authority			
	1250	1110401	\$3,006,205,907.70			
LAW	1250	1111183	\$637,566,389.64			
LAB	1250	1111184	\$207,788,178.39			
BOF	1250	1111185	\$261,722,260.48			
HLW	1250	1111186	\$559,559,983.95			
PT	1250	1111187	\$840,654,550.43			
LAW	1250	1111241	\$206,280,590.00			
LAB	1250	1111242	\$87,500,000.00			
BOF	1250	1111243	\$58,000,000.00			
HLW	1250	1111244	\$391,849,971.00			
PT	1250	1111245	\$678,470,799.00			

	etary Controls Points for WTP	Project thru Contract	\$6,935,598,630.59
Modification 23	1		
BUL	OGETARY CONTROL POIN	NTS FOR PROGRAM DIRI	ECTION
Description	Appropriation Symbol	B&R No. (Control Point)	Budget Authority
PD	1250	1110462	\$1,080,000.00
Modification 23		ORK ORDER FUNDING	
IEWO Id	lentification Numbers	IEWO Amendment No.	Funding
M0SRLE60 Fur	nding (SRNS/SRNL)	40	\$73,957,217.82
M0SRV00028 F	Funding (SRNS)	16	\$1,233,284.00
M0SRV00036 F	Funding (WSRC)	2	\$186,500.00
M0SRV00042 Funding (ORNL) 0			\$27,600.00
M0IDV00061 F	unding (BEA)	0	\$30,000.00
Total - IEWO F	unding		\$75,434,601.82
Total Budgetary Control Points for WTP Project (230)			\$7,012,113,232.41

3. Under the authority of Contract Clause I. 82, FAR 52.243-2 Changes – Cost Reimbursement (AUG 1987) – Alternate III (APR 1984), Contract Section B, Supplies or Services and Prices/Costs, Contract Clause B.4, Incentive Fee Structure, paragraph (c), sub-paragraph (1) is corrected to show a change in reference from PEMP as the governing document, to Section J, Attachment P of the contract. Contract Section B, Contract Clause B.4, paragraph (c), sub-paragraph (1) is revised as follows:

FROM:

(1) <u>Activity Milestone Completion</u> incentives are earned and payable upon the Contracting Officer's determination of the Contractor's completion of each milestone (listed in Attachment B-2-C) in accordance with the criteria set forth in the PEMP. The dates are considered as targets.

TO:

- (1) <u>Activity Milestone Completion</u> incentives are earned and payable upon the Contracting Officer's determination of the Contractor's completion of each milestone (listed in Attachment B-2-C) in accordance with the criteria set forth in Section J, Attachment P of the contract. The dates are considered as targets.
- 4. Under the authority of Contract Clause I. 82, FAR 52.243-2 Changes Cost Reimbursement (AUG 1987) Alternate III (APR 1984), Contract Section C, Statement of Work, Clause C.6, Standards, Standard 2, Research, Technology, and Modeling, paragraph (b), sub-paragraph (2) is revised as follows:

FROM:

Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant Tank Utilization Assessments: (2) The Contractor shall perform Tank Utilization Assessments under specific feed vector assumptions provided by DOE. The Contractor shall perform Tank Utilization Assessments to support assessments of design changes that would affect model outcomes. The models shall reflect the latest design and information from research and technology. DOE shall review and approve the specific assumptions when the Tank Utilization Assessment model is used to evaluate Contract Section C.7 (b) design capacity requirements. The primary software used to run the dynamic flowsheet shall be Gensym G2 or other software as agreed to by DOE. The Contractor shall assess utilization of process tank capacity and supporting equipment capability and operational characteristics, to ensure that the tanks are appropriately sized to support process operations, sampling and analysis turnaround times, process control requirements, and waste form qualification requirements. The model assessments shall include material balance summaries of major chemical and radiochemical components to support demonstration of model assessment integrity. Results shall be provided to DOE for review and comment (Table C.5-1.1, Deliverable 2.6).

TO:

Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant Tank Utilization Assessments:
The Contractor shall perform Tank Utilization Assessments under specific feed vector assumptions provided by DOE and will be based upon the compositional limits defined in Specification 7, Low-Activity Waste Envelopes Definition and Specification 8, High-Level Waste Envelope Definition, tank waste inventory estimates, and the results of testing with actual tank waste samples. The Contractor shall perform Tank Utilization Assessments to support assessments of design changes that would affect model outcomes. The models shall reflect the latest design and information from research and technology. DOE shall review and approve the specific assumptions when the Tank Utilization Assessment model is used to evaluate Contract Section C.7 (b) design capacity requirements. The primary software used to run the dynamic flowsheet shall be Gensym G2 or other software as agreed to by

DOE. The Contractor shall assess utilization of process tank capacity and supporting equipment capability and operational characteristics, to ensure that the tanks are appropriately sized to support process operations, sampling and analysis turnaround times, process control requirements, and waste form qualification requirements. The model assessments shall include material balance summaries of major chemical and radiochemical components to support demonstration of model assessment integrity. Results shall be provided to DOE for review and comment (Table C.5-1.1, Deliverable 2.6).

The flowsheet and material balances shall estimate the quantity of ILAW, IHLW, and relevant secondary streams on on annual basis.

The material balances will be based on three standards for chemical composition estimation. The Standards are:

- (i) Best available information that is based upon the analysis of tank waste samples planned to be treated in the WTP;
- (ii) Agreed upon waste input inventory; and
- (iii) Specification 7, Low-Activity Waste Envelopes Definition, and Specification 8, High-Level Waste Envelope Definition, concentration maximums.

The Contractor should evaluate the process chemistry predictions based on the material balance results. Unresolved process chemistry predictions should be verified through additional literature reviews and process chemistry testing.

Electronic copies of the Tank Utilization Assessments shall be provided to DOE with each completed assessment.

5. Under the authority of Contract Clause I. 82, FAR 52.243-2 Changes – Cost Reimbursement (AUG 1987) – Alternate III (APR 1984), Contract Section C, Statement of Work, C.5, Description of Contract Requirements and Deliverables, Table C.5-1.1, Deliverables is revised as follows:

From:

2.5	Operations Research Assessment	Standard 2 [Std. 2 (b)(1) & Std 3 (c)(6)(ii)(A)]	С	D	COR (M131)	12/19/2008, 6/19/2010, 6/19/2011 with bi-annual updates thereafter
2.6	WTP Tank Utilization Assessment	Standard 2 [Std. 2 (b)(2)]	С	D	COR (M131)	12/19/2008, 6/19/2010, 6/19/2011 with bi-annual updates thereafter

2.7	Material Balance and Process Flowsheet	Standard 2 [Std. 2 (b)(4)]	С	D	COR (M131)	12/19/2008, 6/19/2010, 6/19/2011 with bi-annual updates thereafter
-----	---	-------------------------------	---	---	---------------	---

To:

	To:					
2.5	Operations Research Assessment	Standard 2 [Std. 2 (b)(1) & Std 3 (c)(6)(ii)(A)]	C	D	COR (M131)	12/19/2008, 6/19/2010, 6/19/2011, FEBRUARY of 2012, 2014 and 2016 and after completion of Cold Commissioning and completion of Hot Commissioning (230)
2.6	WTP Tank Utilization Assessment	Standard 2 [Std. 2 (b)(2)]	С	D	COR (M131)	12/19/2008, 6/19/2010, 6/19/2011, FEBRUARY 2012, NOVEMBER 2013, NOVEMBER 2014, and after completion of Cold Commissioning and completion of Hot Commissioning (230)
2.7	DELETED (230)					

6. Under the authority of Contract Clause I. 82, FAR 52.243-2 Changes – Cost Reimbursement (AUG 1987) – Alternate III (APR 1984), Contract Section C, Statement of Work, Clause C.6, Standards, Standard 2, Research, Technology, and Modeling, paragraph (b), sub-paragraph (4) is deleted in its entirety. The requirements contained herein are included in the revision to Clause C.6, Standard 2, paragraph (b), sub-paragraph (2). Contract Section C, Clause C.6, paragraph (b), sub-paragraph (4) is deleted in its entirety and replaced as follows:

(4) Deleted (230)

7. Under the authority of Contract Clause I. 82, FAR 52.243-2 Changes – Cost Reimbursement (AUG 1987) – Alternate III (APR 1984), Contract Section J, List of Attachments, Attachment J, Advance Understanding of Costs, Sub-Attachment B, List of

Exclusions from Equitable Adjustment Settlement, Trend No. TN-24590-06-03861, Received Vendor and Subcontractor Claims Due to DOE Impacts is updated to reflect several Vendor and Subcontractor REAs that will not be submitted. Contract Section J, Attachment J, Sub-Attachment B, is revised as follows:

FROM:

TN-24590-		
06-03861	Received Vendor & Subcontractor Claims Due to DOE Impacts	
	Vendor & Subcontract Claims Due to DOE Impacts - Oregon Iron	
	Works (Note this is a portion of TN-24590-06-03861)	A167

TO:

TN-24590-	. •	
06-03861	Received Vendor & Subcontractor Claims Due to DOE Impacts	
	Vendor & Subcontract Claims Due to DOE Impacts - Oregon Iron	
	Works (Note this is a portion of TN-24590-06-03861)	A167
	Vendor & Subcontract Claims Due to DOE Impacts – FD	
	Thomas, Inc. REA will not be submitted. (Note this is a portion of	
	TN-24590-06-03861)	230
	Vendor & Subcontract Claims Due to DOE Impacts – Cobra	
	Roofing Services, Inc. REA will not be submitted. (Note this is a	
′	portion of TN-24590-06-03861)	230
	Vendor & Subcontract Claims Due to DOE Impacts – Quality	
	Inspection Services International, Inc (QISI) REA will not be	
	submitted. (Note this is a portion of TN-24590-06-03861)	230
	Vendor & Subcontract Claims Due to DOE Impacts – Central	
	Pre-Mix Concrete Company, Inc. REA 2010-020 wll not be	
	submitted. (Note this is a portion of TN-24590-06-03861)	230
	Vendor & Subcontract Claims Due to DOE Impacts – Apollo	
	Sheet Metal, Inc. REA 2010-019 will not be submitted. (Note this	
	is a portion of TN-24590-06-03861)	230
	Vendor & Subcontract Claims Due to DOE Impacts – Ellis &	
	Watts, Inc. REA 2010-018 will not be submitted. (Note this is a	
	portion of TN-24590-06-03861)	230

- 8. Contractor's Statement of Release: In consideration of the Modification agreed to herein as complete equitable adjustment, the Contractor hereby releases the Government from any and all liability under this contract for further equitable adjustments attributable to such facts or circumstances giving rise to the changes as noted in this modification.
- 9. All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

(End of Modification)

Attachment to 11-AMD-310

Contract Mod No. 230

Total number of pages, 7